

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,055	02/17/2004	Yaniv Feinberg	60001.0308US01/MS3052	50.1 9688
27488 7590 07/14/2008 MERCHANT & GOULD (MICROSOFT)			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2903			QUELER, ADAM M	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/780,055
 FEINBERG ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 ADAM M. QUELER
 2178

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ADAM M. QUELER.	(3) <u>Yuri Eliezer</u> .			
(2) <u>D. Kent Stier</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 10 July 2008.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) ☐ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Davis</u> .				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)  N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner suggested amending the claims to show that a determination that the last reader was not set occurs within the steps of the claims to that it is clear that only the embodiment where the text reading order is not set, is the one that is claimed. Advised that the proposed amendments are substantial enough to require an RCE and that such a filing would not result in a first action being mad final.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

/Adam M Queler/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2178

Examiner's signature, if required